

## REMARKS

In the parent application, the Examiner required restriction to one of the following inventions:

- I. Claims 1-17 and 19-26, drawn to the presentation of templates appropriate to a user-selected task(s), classified in class 705, subclass 8.
- II. Claim 18, drawn to an on-line data gathering tool for evaluating a customer's general procurement and accounts payable system, classified in class 705, subclass 7.

Applicants elected in the parent application to prosecute claims 1-17 and 12-26 of Group I without prejudice, and this application is filed to prosecute claim 18 of Group II, claims 10-11, 20, and 23 canceled from the parent application without prejudice, and have added new claims 27-30.

Applicants have added by way of this preliminary amendment new claims 27-30, and have reintroduced and

amended claims 10-11, 20, and 23 to continue their prosecution.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION


Applicant urge that the above amendments be entered and the case passed to issue with claims 10-11, 18, 20, and 23.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conversation with applicant(s) attorney could possibly facilitate prosecution of the case, he may be reached at the number noted below.

Sincerely,

R. F. BARNARD, ET AL.

By

  
Shelley M Beckstrand  
Reg. No. 24,886

Date: 29 Nov 2003

Shelley M Beckstrand, P.C.  
Attorney at Law  
314 Main Street  
Owego, NY 13827

Phone: (607) 687-9913  
Fax: (607) 687-7848